CourseMints

GUIDE

Critical Thinking Toolkit

Think Clearly in a World of Noise

SELF-PACED PDF COURSE

COURSEMINTS.COM

CourseMints

Critical Thinking Toolkit

Think Clearly in a World of Noise

COPYRIGHT

© 2026 CourseMints. Published by Morvex Corporation Limited, Studio No. 28, 2 Old Brompton Road, London, England, SW7 3DQ. Registry Nr: 17034959. info@coursemints.com

All rights reserved. No part of this guide may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form without written permission from the publisher, except as allowed by the license below.

YOUR LICENSE

This guide is licensed to the buyer for personal use. You may download it, read it on any of your devices and print as many copies as you need for yourself. Please do not resell, share, upload or redistribute it, in whole or in part.

DISCLAIMER

This guide is for educational purposes only. Results depend on your effort and circumstances, and no particular outcome is guaranteed. If you are dealing with a medical, legal, financial or mental health concern, please consult a qualified professional.

INSIDE THIS GUIDE

Contents

	How to Use This Guide	4
CHAPTER 1	What Critical Thinking Is, and Is Not	6
CHAPTER 2	Claims and Evidence	10
CHAPTER 3	Arguments and Fallacies	14
CHAPTER 4	The Biases That Shape Belief	19
CHAPTER 5	Numbers That Mislead	24
CHAPTER 6	Charts That Mislead	29
CHAPTER 7	Checking Sources: Read Laterally	32
CHAPTER 8	Thinking Like a Scientist	36
CHAPTER 9	Conspiracy Thinking	40
CHAPTER 10	Steelmanning and Disagreeing Well	45
CHAPTER 11	Changing Your Mind for Good Reasons	49
	Appendix: Your Critical Thinking Toolkit	53

How to Use This Guide

Every day you are asked to believe things: a headline about a new health risk, an ad that promises results, a chart shared in a group chat, a confident friend at dinner. Most of it you cannot check in depth, and you should not try. What you can do is ask a few sharp questions quickly, know which claims deserve a closer look, and know how to take that closer look without spending all evening on it. This guide gives you those tools.

What is inside

- **Chapters 1 to 3, the basics:** what critical thinking is, how to weigh evidence, and how arguments go wrong.
- **Chapter 4, your own mind:** the biases that shape what you believe.
- **Chapters 5 and 6, numbers:** statistics and charts that mislead.
- **Chapters 7 and 8, checking:** how professional fact-checkers vet sources, and how to read science news.
- **Chapters 9 to 11, harder conversations:** conspiracy thinking, steelmanning, and changing your mind for good reasons.
- **Appendix:** the whole toolkit on two pages, plus a 14-day practice plan.

Each chapter explains why the skill matters, gives you numbered steps, shows an example and ends with an exercise and key takeaways. Some exercises have answers at the end of the chapter, so you can check yourself.

Two ground rules

Critical thinking is not cynicism. Doubting everything is as lazy as believing everything; both save you the work of weighing evidence. The goal is calibrated trust: more confidence in well-supported claims, less in weak ones.

Point the tools at yourself first. These tools are most useful, and most uncomfortable, when used on claims you would like to be true. Using them only on people you disagree with makes you better at defending what you already believe, not better at finding out what is true.

The people in the examples are fictional composites written to show the methods. If you own Decision Making Mastery, note the division of labor: that guide covers the biases that distort *choices*; this one covers the biases that distort *beliefs*.

CHAPTER 1

What Critical Thinking Is, and Is Not

01

Critical thinking is the habit of asking how well a claim is supported before deciding how much to believe it. It is not a talent, a personality type or a level of education. Highly educated people fall for bad arguments all the time, often because they are good at building reasons for what they already think.

Why it matters

You hold most of your beliefs secondhand. You have not personally checked that the Earth orbits the Sun, that smoking causes cancer or that your bank is solvent. That is fine: trusting reliable sources is not a failure of thinking, it is how knowledge works in a complex world. The skill is not checking everything yourself. It is judging *whom and what to trust, and how much*.

Five kinds of claims

Different claims need different evidence. Sorting a claim first saves you from arguing past each other.

Type	Example	What would support it
Factual	“Crime in our city rose last year.”	Data from a reliable source, with a clear definition
Causal	“The new policy caused the rise.”	Evidence that rules out other explanations
Predictive	“Prices will fall next year.”	A track record of similar predictions

Type	Example	What would support it
Value	“The policy is unfair.”	Arguments about principles; facts inform but do not settle it
Definitional	“That counts as a recession.”	An agreed definition

Many arguments are really disagreements about a different type of claim than the one being discussed. Two people arguing about whether a policy “works” may agree on the facts and disagree about what “working” means.

The five core questions

1. **What exactly is being claimed?** Restate it in one plain sentence. Vague claims (“this boosts your immunity”) are hard to test, which is often why they are vague.
2. **What type of claim is it?**
3. **What is the evidence, and how good is it?** (Chapter 2)
4. **What else could explain it?** List at least one alternative.
5. **What would change my mind?** If nothing could, you are not evaluating; you are defending.

Proportion your effort

You cannot investigate everything. Spend effort in proportion to two things: **how much it matters** if the claim is wrong (a health decision, a vote, money) and **how surprising** the claim is. “Extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence,” a principle popularized by astronomer Carl Sagan, is a good guide: a claim that overturns well-established knowledge needs far more support than one that fits with it.

EXAMPLE

Leila and the immunity drink

Leila saw a sponsored post: “Clinically proven to boost immunity by 40 percent.” Running the questions took her two minutes. The claim was vague: “boost” what, measured how? It was causal, so it needed a controlled trial. The “clinical” study was not linked, and a search found only a small company-funded trial measuring a single blood marker, not fewer infections. Alternative explanation for the people who swear by it: colds get better on their own. It mattered little (a $30 drink), so she stopped there and did not buy it.

EXERCISE

Sort today's claims

Collect five claims you met today: news, ads, social media, conversation.

Claim (in one plain sentence)	Type	How surprising? (1-5)	How much it matters (1-5)	Worth checking?

For the claim you most want to be true: what would change your mind?